CORPORATE GOVERNANCE STATEMENT IN ACCORDANCE WITH SECTION 289F AND SECTION 315D HGB

Effective implementation of the principles of corporate governance is an important aspect of PUMA’s corporate policy. Transparent and responsible corporate governance is a key prerequisite for achieving corporate targets and for increasing the Company’s value in a sustainable manner. The Management and the Supervisory Board work closely with each other in the interests of the entire Company to ensure that the Company is managed and monitored in an efficient way that will ensure sustainable added value through good corporate governance. In the following the Management Board and the Supervisory Board report on the corporate governance at PUMA SE in accordance with Principle 22 of the German Corporate Governance Code (DCGK). This section also includes the Statement of Compliance in accordance with Art. 9(1)[ii] of the SE Regulation (SE-VO) in conjunction with Section 289f and Section 315d German Commercial Code (HGB). Pursuant to Section 317(2) Sentence 6 of the HGB, the purpose of the audit of the statements pursuant to Section 289f (2) and (5) and Section 315d of the HGB is limited to determining whether such statements have actually been provided.

PUMA SE has the legal form of a European company (Societas Europaea, or SE). Being a SE headquartered in Germany, PUMA SE is subject to European and German law for SEs while remaining subject to German stock corporation law. As a company listed in Germany, PUMA SE adheres to the German Corporate Governance Code.

PUMA SE has a dual management system featuring strict personal and functional separation between the Management Board and the Supervisory Board (two-tier board). Accordingly, the Management Board manages the company while the Supervisory Board monitors and advises the Management Board.

STATEMENT OF COMPLIANCE PURSUANT TO SECTION 161 AKTG FOR 2021

The Management Board and the Supervisory Board of PUMA SE declare that - since the last Statement of Compliance from November 9, 2020 - PUMA SE has complied, and will continue to comply, with the recommendations of the “Government Commission on the German Corporate Governance Code” in the version dated December 16, 2019 (effective as of March 20, 2020, “Code 2020”), to the extent required by the Code 2020.

Herzogenaurach, November 9, 2021

PUMA SE

For the Management Board
Bjørn Gulden

For the Supervisory Board
Hubert Hinterseher
Jean-François Palus

The Statement of Compliance can be downloaded on the Company’s homepage [http://about.PUMA.com under “INVESTOR RELATIONS / CORPORATE GOVERNANCE”]. The Statements of Compliance of the past five years are also accessible on this website.
RELEVANT DISCLOSURES OF CORPORATE GOVERNANCE PRACTICES THAT ARE APPLIED BEYOND THE REGULATORY REQUIREMENTS

CORPORATE SOCIAL RESPONSIBILITY

In order to fulfill our ecological and social responsibility as a global sporting goods manufacturer, PUMA has developed group-wide guidelines on environmental management and on compliance with workplace and social standards as well as human rights. PUMA is convinced that only on such a foundation can a lasting and sustainable corporate success be achieved. That is why PUMA is committed to the principles of the UN Global Compact. The PUMA Code of Ethics and the PUMA Code of Conduct prescribe ethical and environmental standards with which both employees and suppliers are required to comply. Detailed information on the Company’s sustainability strategy can be found in the Sustainability section of the Annual Report or on the Company’s homepage [http://about.PUMA.com under "FOREVER BETTER"].

COMPLIANCE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

PUMA’s management acts in compliance with laws and self-imposed standards of conduct. PUMA has set up a Compliance Management System (CMS) to systematically prevent, detect and sanction violations in the areas of corruption, money laundering, conflicts of interest, antitrust law, fraud and embezzlement. Violations of the law or internal guidelines will not be tolerated.

The PUMA Code of Ethics is an important building block of the CMS and is binding for employees of all subsidiaries worldwide. It defines the guidelines and values that shape PUMA’s identity. PUMA expects all employees to be aware of these values and to act accordingly. The Code of Ethics contains rules, among other things, on dealing with conflicts of interest and personal data and prohibits insider trading, anti-competitive behavior and corruption in any form. In order to familiarize employees with the rules of the Code of Ethics and to establish uniform behavioral guidelines, the Code of Ethics is supplemented by specific group-wide guidelines. Employees sign a statement that they familiarize and will comply with the Code of Ethics and other internal policies.

All employees are familiarized with the regulatory areas of the Code of Ethics through ongoing mandatory e-learning. In addition, employees selected on the basis of risk-based principles are given in-depth knowledge through suitable communication measures, classroom training or more comprehensive e-learnings. In 2021, the annual e-learning on the Code of Ethics covered the topics of anti-bribery and anti-corruption, conflict of interest and reporting of compliance violations (“speak up culture”). The Compliance Department developed the content of the training itself in order to achieve the greatest possible learning success with case studies that PUMA employees could relate to. The CEO of PUMA SE encouraged all PUMA employees to complete the e-learning on the Code of Ethics. The clear tone from the top resulted in 98.8% of PUMA employees (98.4% PUMA SE) across the Group successfully completing the Code of Ethics e-learning. At the beginning of 2021, the Business Partner Due Diligence Policy developed in the previous year was implemented. In it, existing processes for the identification and treatment of business partner risks were consolidated and partly redefined. The process includes a risk assessment to identify business partners with a high-risk profile and describes the due diligence steps to be applied to such business partners. The due diligence steps include, for example, a compliance screening and compliance and financial (payment method, market conformity of remuneration) health checks. A structured approval process defines when the local compliance officer can approve a high-risk business partner and when the chief compliance officer must be involved in the approval process. 684 employees were trained Group-wide on the due diligence steps.

The Management Board is responsible for the proper functioning of the CMS. It is supported by a compliance organization consisting of the Chief Compliance Officer and compliance officers in the main operating Group companies. The Chief Compliance Officer of PUMA SE reports directly to the CEO of PUMA SE. The local compliance officers also serve as direct contact persons for employees and support them by appropriate communication measures as well as in dealing with and processing compliance incidents. The Chief Compliance Officer and the local compliance officers regularly exchange information.
on the results of their risk analyses and make any necessary adjustments to the compliance management system. The internal audit department audits the compliance controls on a yearly basis. To facilitate cooperation within the global compliance organization, regular virtual meetings are held with the local compliance officers. These provide an opportunity to exchange experience and knowledge. This informal exchange of information is supplemented by a compliance reporting process. The Chief Compliance Officer reports to the Audit Committee of the Supervisory Board of PUMA SE about the outcome of this reporting process. Thereby, the current status of the implementation of compliance structures and serious compliance violations are addressed. The Chief Compliance Officer works closely with the Legal Department and the Internal Audit.

PUMA has a Group-wide electronic whistleblower platform, which is operated by an external provider and to which employees and third parties can safely and confidentially report illegal or unethical conduct in a protected manner. Violations from all risk areas can be reported. Insofar as they do not fall within the competence of the compliance organization, the responsible specialist departments are responsible for identifying and taking measures. The introduction of the platform was communicated throughout the Group by the CEO and the communication was flanked by appropriate information material. Every year, the local compliance officers expressly draw attention to the whistleblower system through appropriate communication measures or in face-to-face training sessions. Whistleblowers who report misconduct in good faith are protected from retaliation. All reports are followed up immediately and, if confirmed, appropriate measures are taken. In 2021, the Compliance department at headquarters received 69 reports of alleged violations. The majority of cases did not fall within the remit of the Compliance department. In addition to the whistleblower platform, there is a global hotline for whistleblowers from the supply chain.

DESCRIPTION OF THE WORKING PRACTICES OF THE MANAGEMENT BOARD AND THE SUPERVISORY BOARD

PUMA SE has three bodies – the Management Board, the Supervisory Board and the Annual General Meeting.

MANAGEMENT BOARD

The Management Board of PUMA SE manages the Company on its own responsibility with the goal of sustainable value creation. It develops PUMA’s strategic orientation and coordinates it with the Supervisory Board. In addition, it ensures group-wide compliance with legal requirements and an effective risk management and internal control system.

The members of the Management Board are appointed by the Supervisory Board. The Supervisory Board has set a general age limit of 70 years for the members Management Board. The Management Board currently consists of four members and has a CEO. Further information on the areas of responsibility of the members of the Management Board and their mandates can be found in the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (last chapter). No member of the Management Board has, in aggregate, more than two Supervisory Board mandates in non-group listed companies or comparable functions.

The members of the Management Board are obliged to disclose conflicts of interest to the Chair of the Supervisory Board and to the CEO without undue delay and to inform the other members of the Management Board accordingly. They may only assume sideline activities, in particular supervisory board and comparable mandates outside the PUMA Group, with the prior consent of the Supervisory Board. In the past fiscal year, the members of the Management Board of PUMA SE did not report any conflicts of interest.

The principles of cooperation of the Management Board of PUMA SE are set out in the Rules of Procedure for the Management Board, which can be viewed at http://about.PUMA.com under "INVESTOR RELATIONS / CORPORATE GOVERNANCE".
SUPERVISORY BOARD

The German Codetermination Act does not apply to PUMA SE as a European company. Rather, the size and composition of the Supervisory Board are determined by the Articles of Association of PUMA SE and the Agreement on the Involvement of Employees in PUMA SE dated July 11, 2011 and its amendment dated February 7, 2018. The Supervisory Board of PUMA SE consists of six members, four of whom are shareholder representatives and two of whom are employee representatives. Shareholder representatives are being elected individually. CVs of the individual Supervisory Board members are available on the Internet and are updated annually. The term of office of the current Supervisory Board members ends at the end of the Annual General Meeting which resolves on the discharge of the members of the Supervisory Board for the financial year 2022. Further information on the members of the Supervisory Board, their mandates and the term of their membership can be found in the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (last chapter). Supervisory Board members who are not a member of any Management Board of a listed company have not accepted more than five Supervisory Board mandates at non-group listed companies or comparable functions.

The Supervisory Board appoints the members of the Management Board and may dismiss them at any time for good cause. Initial appointments are for three years. The Supervisory Board adopts a clear and understandable remuneration system for the Management Board. In case of any significant change, at least every four years, it shall submit the remuneration system to the Annual General Meeting for approval. The Annual General Meeting on May 5, 2021 approved a further developed Management Board remuneration system submitted by the Supervisory Board, which complies with the requirements of the Act Implementing the Second Shareholders’ Directive [ARUG II], follows the recommendations of the Code 2020 and is even more strongly aligned with shareholder interests. Further information on the remuneration of the Management Board is summarized in the Compensation Report (see https://about.puma.com/en/investor-relations/corporate-governance).

The Supervisory Board monitors and advises the Management Board on the implementation of the strategy. The Management Board informs the Supervisory Board regularly, promptly and comprehensively about all issues of relevance to the Company relating to strategy, planning, business development, the risk situation, risk management and compliance management system. It deals with deviations in the course of business from the established plans and targets, stating the reasons. The Supervisory Board is involved by the Management Board in decisions of paramount importance for the Company or beyond the ordinary course of business of PUMA SE and the PUMA Group and the Supervisory Board needs to approve those decisions.

Together with the Management Board, the Supervisory Board ensures succession planning for future Management Board positions and key functions in the PUMA Group. On the basis of group-wide talent conferences, the Management Board develops recommendations for potential internal successor appointments, which it discusses regularly with the Supervisory Board. In making its recommendations, the Management Board takes into account the Diversity Concept adopted by the Supervisory Board for the composition of the Management Board (see below).

Between the meetings, the Chair of the Supervisory Board is in regular contact with the CEO in order to discuss issues of strategy, business development, the risk situation, risk management and compliance of PUMA. Prior to Supervisory Board meetings, the CEO or the CFO speak separately to the employee representatives and the shareholder representatives, if need be. At the end of the regular meetings, the Supervisory Board always has the opportunity to discuss issues in the absence of the Management Board. It also makes regular use of this opportunity. The members of the Supervisory Board also participate in the meetings by telephone or video conference.

The Supervisory Board regularly reviews the efficiency of its activities. The last efficiency review was initiated at the end of 2021. With the support of external experts, a comprehensive questionnaire has been prepared, which was answered by each of the Supervisory Board members. In early 2022, the results will be evaluated, discussed by the Supervisory Board and any improvement measures will be defined.
No Supervisory Board member is a member of a governing body of, or exercises advisory functions at, significant competitors of the Company; no Supervisory Board member holds any personal relationships with a significant competitor of the Company.

The Company supports the Supervisory Board in its training activities, for example by having the Legal Department regularly review changes in the legal framework for the Supervisory Board and address them in the meetings. In an onboarding program, new members of the Supervisory Board not only receive training from the legal department on their rights and duties, but also have the opportunity in particular to meet the members of the Management Board and other executives for a bilateral exchange on current management issues and thus gain an overview of relevant topics of the Company. In addition, the Supervisory Board was trained on the topic of sustainability and supply chain in 2021.

The principles of cooperation of the Supervisory Board of PUMA SE are set out in the Rules of Procedure for the Supervisory Board, which can be viewed at http://about.PUMA.com under “INVESTOR RELATIONS / CORPORATE GOVERNANCE”.

SHAREHOLDERS AND ANNUAL GENERAL MEETING

The shareholders of PUMA SE exercise their rights, in particular their information and voting rights, at the Annual General Meeting. Each share has one vote. Our shareholders can exercise their voting rights themselves or through a proxy appointed by the Company and bound by instructions. All documents and information on the Annual General Meeting are available on the website of PUMA SE.

As part of our comprehensive investor relations and public relations work, we are in close contact with our shareholders. We inform shareholders, financial analysts, shareholders’ associations, the media and the interested public comprehensively and regularly about the situation of the Company and inform them without undue delay about significant business changes. The Chair of the Supervisory Board is also prepared to discuss Supervisory Board-specific issues with investors within an appropriate framework.

In addition to other communication channels, we make intensive use of the Company’s website for our investor relations work. At http://about.PUMA.com/en/investor-relations, all material information published in the 2021 financial year, including annual, quarterly and half-yearly financial reports, press releases, voting rights announcements by major shareholders, presentations and the financial calendar, can be accessed.


The Supervisory Board meets at least every three months. Meetings must also be held if the best interests of the Company so require or if a member of the Supervisory Board requests that the meeting be convened. The Supervisory Board has established four committees to perform its duties and receives regular reports on their work. The principles of cooperation of the Supervisory Board of PUMA SE and the duties of the committees are set out in the Rules of Procedure for the Supervisory Board, which can be viewed at http://about.PUMA.com under “INVESTOR RELATIONS / CORPORATE GOVERNANCE”.

The Personnel Committee consists of three members. The Personnel Committee is responsible for entering into and making changes to the Management Board members’ employment contracts and for establishing policies for Human Resources and personnel development. The entire Supervisory Board decides on issues involving the Management Board members’ compensation based on recommendations from the Personnel Committee. The members of the Personnel Committee are Jean-François Palus (Chair), Fiona May and Martin Koeppel.

The Audit Committee consists of three members. The Chair of the Audit Committee must be an independent shareholder representative and must have expertise in the fields of accounting and auditing in accordance with Section 100(5) AktG. In particular, the Audit Committee is responsible for the review of the accounting
comprising particularly of the consolidated financial statements and the group management report
(including CSR reporting), interim financial information and the single entity financial statements in
accordance with the German Commercial Code (HGB). It is furthermore responsible for monitoring the
accounting process, the effectiveness of the internal control system, the risk management system, the
internal audit system, compliance and the statutory audit of the financial statements, with particular regard
to the selection and the required independence of the statutory auditors, issuing the audit mandate to the
statutory auditors, defining the audit areas of focus, the quality of the audit, any additional services to be
performed by the auditors and the fee agreement. The recommendation of the Supervisory Board on the
selection of the statutory auditors must be based on a corresponding recommendation by the Audit
Committee. Once the Annual General Meeting has appointed the statutory auditors, and the Supervisory
Board has issued the audit assignment, the Audit Committee shall work with the statutory auditors to
specify the scope of the audit and the audit areas of focus. The statutory auditors shall attend the meeting
to review the annual financial statements, the consolidated financial statements as well as the consolidated
interim report and shall report on the key findings of their audit. They shall also inform the Committee
about any other services they have provided in addition to auditing services and shall confirm their
independence. Each month, the Audit Committee shall receive financial data on the PUMA Group, which will
allow the tracking of developments in net assets, financial position, results of operations and the order
books on a continual basis. The Audit Committee shall also deal with issues relating to the balance sheet
and income statement and shall discuss these with the Management Board. In addition, when the internal
audit projects are completed, the Audit Committee shall receive the audit reports, which must also include
any actions taken. The members of the Audit Committee are Thore Ohlsson (Chair, expertise in the field of
accounting/auditing), Héloïse Temple-Boyer (expertise in the field of accounting/auditing) and Bernd Illig.

The Nominating Committee has three members, who are representatives of the shareholders on the
Supervisory Board. The Nominating Committee proposes suitable shareholder candidates to the
Supervisory Board for its voting recommendations to the Annual General Meeting. The members of the
Nominating Committee are Jean-François Palus (Chair), Héloïse Temple-Boyer and Fiona May.

The Sustainability Committee consists of three members. It was established in April 2021 and meets once a
year. In its area of responsibility, the Sustainability Committee advises and monitors the sustainability
strategy of the Management Board. The members of the Sustainability Committee are Fiona May (Chair),
Héloïse Temple-Boyer and Martin Köppel.

The current composition of the committees can further be found in Appendix 2 of the Notes to the
Consolidated Financial Statements.

DIVERSITY CONCEPT FOR THE SUPERVISORY BOARD

A) OBJECTIVES FOR THE COMPOSITION OF THE SUPERVISORY BOARD

The Supervisory Board of PUMA SE is composed in such a way that its members as a group possess the
appropriate knowledge, skills and professional experience necessary for the proper performance of their
duties. The composition of the Supervisory Board is primarily determined by appropriate qualification,
taking into account diversity and the appropriate involvement of women. Based on Section C.1 of the Code
2020, the Supervisory Board has set targets for its composition that have been fulfilled. In detail:

- The members of the Supervisory Board as a group have the experience and knowledge in the field of
management and/or monitoring market-oriented companies as well as in the business segments and
sales markets of PUMA. Details of this are presented under lit. B) of this chapter.
- A sufficient number of members have strong international backgrounds. This target has been clearly
surpassed simply because of the international origins of Jean-François Palus, Héloïse Temple-Boyer,
Thore Ohlsson and Fiona May.

The Supervisory Board has an appropriate number of independent members. With Jean-François Palus,
Héloïse Temple-Boyer, Thore Ohlsson and Fiona May four out of six members of the Supervisory Board
are considered independent.
The Code 2020 does not contain a conclusive definition of independence regarding the shareholder representatives in the supervisory board, but rather lists examples of circumstances that may indicate a lack of independence. It is the task of the supervisory board to assess the independence of the members of the supervisory board on the basis of these indications and evaluate whether a member has a personal or business relationship with the Company or its management board that may cause a substantial – and not merely temporary – conflict of interest. Against this backdrop, PUMA’s Supervisory Board believes that there are currently no specific indications of relevant circumstances or relationships for any member of the Supervisory Board that could constitute a material and not merely temporary conflict of interest and that would therefore interfere with their independence.

With regard to Supervisory Board members Jean-François Palus and Héloïse Temple-Boyer, the Supervisory Board is of the opinion that their functions as Directeur Général Délégué of Artémis S.A.S. do not impair their independence within the meaning of the Code 2020. Artémis S.A.S. is not a controlling shareholder, as Artémis S.A.S. is neither a majority shareholder nor does it have a de facto majority at the Annual General Meeting.

With regard to the members of the Supervisory Board Mr. Jean-François Palus and Mr. Thore Ohlsson, the Supervisory Board believes that the length of their tenure as members of the Supervisory Board, which each exceeds 12 years, does not interfere with their independence within the meaning of the Code 2020 as it does not give rise to a material conflict of interest. This is due to the fact that Mr. Palus and Mr. Ohlsson currently hold positions in the management and supervisory boards of several other companies. They both have demonstrated a high level of professionalism during their long experience in the management of various companies and the Supervisory Board believes that both would avoid any circumstances that may give rise to conflict of interest. There are no other indications of a conflict of interest in Mr. Palus’ and Mr. Ohlsson’s person.

Jean-François Palus as the Chair of the Supervisory Board, Thore Ohlsson as the Chair of the Audit Committee and Jean-François Palus as the Chair of the Personnel Committee are all considered independent from the Management Board, the Company and a controlling shareholder. No former member of the Management Board is member of the Supervisory Board.

- Thore Ohlsson, the Chair of the Audit Committee has specific knowledge and experience in applying accounting principles and internal control procedures, is familiar with audits and is independent. Jean-François Palus and Héloïse Temple-Boyer also bring this specific knowledge with them.
- The members have sufficient time to perform his/her mandate in the Supervisory Board. Prior to each election proposal, the Supervisory Board examines whether the candidates concerned are able to complete the time required for the office.
- The Supervisory Board prevents potential significant and not only temporary conflicts of interest of its members by regularly monitoring and critically scrutinizing its members’ other activities. There were no indications of actual conflicts of interest in the 2021 financial year. If a conflict of interest would occur each member of the Supervisory Board informs the Chair of the Supervisory Board without undue delay.
- According to Section 1(4) of the Rules of Procedure for the Supervisory Board, Supervisory Board members may, in principle, not be over 70 years of age and their maximum term of office may not exceed three terms. In setting this age limit, the Supervisory Board deliberately decided against a rigid maximum age limit and in favor of a flexible rule limit that provides the necessary leeway for an appropriate assessment of the circumstances of the individual case, sufficiently broadly defines the circle of potential candidates and also allows re-election. Thore Ohlsson has reached the statutory age limit. After careful consideration, he was nevertheless proposed by the Supervisory Board for re-election in 2018 in order to ensure the necessary continuity after the spin-off from Kering S.A. in the best interests of the Company. All other Supervisory Board members did not reach the standard age limit at the time of their election.
B) PROFILE OF SKILLS AND EXPERTISE

The Supervisory Board has determined a competence profile for the entire Board. It stipulates that the members of the Supervisory Board as a whole must cover the following professional competencies:

- Managing of large or mid-sized international companies (Jean-François Palus, Héloïse Temple-Boyer, Thore Ohlsson)
- Leadership experience in the sporting or luxury goods industry (Jean-François Palus, Héloïse Temple-Boyer, Thore Ohlsson, Fiona May)
- International corporate background (Jean-François Palus, Héloïse Temple-Boyer, Thore Ohlsson, Fiona May)
- Leadership experience with various distribution channels, including e-commerce (Jean-François Palus, Thore Ohlsson)
- Expertise in building strong international brands (Jean-François Palus, Héloïse Temple-Boyer, Thore Ohlsson)
- Marketing, sales and digital know-how (Jean-François Palus, Héloïse Temple-Boyer, Thore Ohlsson)
- Financial expertise (accounting, treasury, risk management, corporate governance) (Jean-François Palus, Thore Ohlsson, Héloïse Temple-Boyer)
- Expertise in serving on the Administrative or Supervisory boards of publicly listed companies (Jean-François Palus, Héloïse Temple-Boyer)
- Experience with mergers & acquisitions (Jean-François Palus, Thore Ohlsson)
- Understanding of the industrial constitution law and advocating the interests of the employees (Martin Koeppel, Bernd Illig)
- HR expertise (Jean-François Palus)
- IT expertise (Bernd Illig).

The Supervisory Board of PUMA SE is currently composed in such a way that it has the competence profile as an overall body.

C) COMMITMENTS TO PROMOTE THE PARTICIPATION OF WOMEN IN MANAGEMENT POSITIONS IN ACCORDANCE WITH ART. 9(1)C(II) OF THE SE REGULATION (SE-VO) IN CONNECTION WITH SECTION 76(4), SECTION 111(5) AKTG

The Supervisory Board shall define a target figure for the proportion of women on the Supervisory Board and the Management Board. The Management Board, for its part, shall set target figures for the proportion of women in the two management levels below the Management Board.

**Target figures 2017**

In 2017, the Supervisory Board of PUMA SE had set a target figure of 30% for the proportion of women on the Supervisory Board to be achieved by October 31, 2021. This target figure was achieved as of this date.

For the Management Board, the Supervisory Board had set a target in 2017 for the proportion of women of 20%, provided that PUMA SE has five or more Management Board members; the target to be achieved by October 31, 2021. This target figure was achieved as of this date.

In 2017, the Management Board had set a target figure of 25% for PUMA SE and 30% at Group level for the proportion of women at the first management level below the Management Board, the targets to be achieved by October 31, 2021. These targets were not achieved. The management team at the first management level below the Management Board has remained fairly stable in recent years and no significant new positions have been created at this level. However, due to the strong development at the second management level below the Management Board, the Management Board is very confident that the new target figure for the first management level will be achieved naturally as part of internal succession appointments.
For the second management level below the Management Board, the proportion of women was to increase to 30% for PUMA SE and to 40% at Group level by October 31, 2021. These targets were achieved.

Target figures 2021

The Supervisory Board of PUMA SE has set a target figure of at least 2 women (33%) for the proportion of women on the Supervisory Board to be achieved by October 31, 2026.

For the Management Board, the Supervisory Board has set a target for the proportion of women

- of at least 1 woman (25%), provided that PUMA SE has four Management Board members;
- of at least 1 woman (20%), provided that PUMA SE has five Management Board members;
- of at least 2 women (33%), provided that PUMA SE has six Management Board members.

The implementation period for this target is October 31, 2026.

For PUMA SE, the Management Board has set a target of 30% for the first management level below the Management Board and 35% for the second management level below the Management Board. At Group level, the proportion of women is to amount to 30% for the first management level below the Management Board and to 40% for the second management level. The implementation deadline here, too, is October 31, 2026.

DIVERSITY CONCEPT FOR THE MANAGEMENT BOARD

The Supervisory Board and the Management Board promote an agile, open corporate culture in which the advantages of diversity are consciously utilized, and everyone can freely unfold their potential for the best of the Company. PUMA strives to fill Management Board positions and senior management positions primarily with people developed within the Company.

The Supervisory Board’s decision regarding a particular appointment to the Management Board is always taken in consideration of the Company’s best interests based on the professional and personal suitability of the candidate. It must be ensured that the members of the Management Board as a whole have the knowledge, skills and experience required for the best possible fulfillment of the tasks of a member of the Management Board of a sporting goods manufacturer such as PUMA. It is not necessary for every member of the Management Board to reflect the technical requirements laid out in the following. The diversity concept for the Management Board therefore stipulates that gender, internationality, age, educational background and experience must be taken into account in its composition:

- Gender

Until October 31, 2026, PUMA aims to have 25% women on the Management Board, provided that the Board has four Management Board members; 20% women on the Management Board, provided that the Board has five Management Board members; and 33% women on the Management Board, provided that the Board has six Management Board members. In order to achieve this goal, the Supervisory Board ensures that an appropriate proportion of female candidates are included on the succession lists within the framework of the internal global management structure for the development of junior staff for the Management Board. In the future, the participation of women in the Management Board is to be guaranteed in the event of a necessary replacement, in particular by giving special consideration to women in various equally qualified candidates. Insofar as external candidates are to be appointed, suitably qualified female candidates shall be considered in particular. The same applies to the filling of management functions. In order to involve women even more in management functions in the future, PUMA promotes the compatibility of family and career, for example through part-time and half-day models as well as flexible working hours and the provision of childcare places. With Anne-Laure Descours a woman is represented on the Management Board. The proportion of women on the Management Board is therefore currently 25%.
- Internationality

PUMA is a globally operating company. An appropriate number of board members must therefore have international experience either due to their origin or due to their many years of professional experience abroad. Notwithstanding the several years of international experience of all board members, this goal has been exceeded simply because of the international origins of Bjørn Gulden and Anne-Laure Descours.

- Age

The Supervisory Board ensures a balanced age structure in the Management Board. This is important to ensure the continuity of the Management Board’s work and to facilitate smooth succession planning. In principle, members of the Management Board may not be older than 70 years. All members of the Management Board are below the standard age limit.

- Training and experience background

With regard to the educational and professional background, the selection of Management Board members should be based on the competencies required in the PUMA Management Board in general as well as for the respective Management Board with regard to corporate management, strategy development, finance and accounting, supply chain, sales and People & Organization. The same criteria apply here as were developed for the competence profile of the Supervisory Board. These competencies do not have to be acquired as part of university studies or other educational training, but may also have been acquired in other ways within or outside PUMA. The members of the board have all the above-mentioned competences.

The current composition of the Management Board implements the diversity concept.